		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/568,708	TOMISAWA ET AL.
	ai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Fiona T. Powers	1626
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Mack</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 May 2007		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE			
Claims discussed: 1 and 6			. ,
Prior art documents discussed: NONE			·
Part II.	•		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Annliaant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignoture if opposition
(A	hhiicant	Applicant's Representative 5	ignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Mack to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following amendments were made: 1)claim 1, after "scavenger" insert -and in the presence of a reaction solvent- and 2)claim 6, delete "1 to 5" and insert -1 to 3-. It was agreed that claims 1 and 6 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..